

MINISTÉRIO DA CIÊNCIA E TECNOLOGIA INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE PESQUISAS ESPACIAIS



# Geometric Quality Assessment of CBERS-2

Julio d'Alge Ricardo Cartaxo Guaraci Erthal

# Contents

- Monitoring CBERS-2 scene centers
  Satellite orbit control
- Band-to-band registration accuracy
  Detection and control
- System-corrected images (level 2)
  - □ What to expect of such images?
  - Internal error ... attitude data
  - Positioning error ... ephemerides and attitude data
- Geometric quality of CBERS-2 images



# Images used in the assessment

### 6 CCD scenes, 159/121, UTM, SAD69

- December 17, 2003; March 30, 2004; May 21, 2004; July 12, 2004;
  September 02, 2004; February 05, 2005
- 6 IRMSS scenes, 159/121, UTM, SAD69
  - December 17, 2003; March 30, 2004; May 21, 2004; July 12, 2004;
    September 02, 2004; February 05, 2005

### 3 WFI scenes, 159/124, Lambert Conformal Conic, SAD69

November 04, 2003; March 30, 2004; September 02, 2004

2 ortho-rectified ETM images, UTM/WGS84

Circa 2000



# Images used in the assessment



CCD



WFI



IRMSS







### Geographic position of scene centers

- WRS path and row are transformed into nominal geographic coordinates
- Geographic coordinates of scene centers are computed through the geometric correction process
- Differences between real and nominal geographic coordinates are calculated
  - December 17, 2003 ..... -28km (to west)
  - March 30, 2004 ..... -39km (to west)
  - May 21, 2004 ..... -21km (to west)
  - July 12, 2004 ..... +5km (to east)
  - September 02, 2004 ..... +4km (to east)
  - February 05, 2005 ..... +2km (to east)
- Orbit control and longitudinal drift at equator



Longitudinal drift at equator computed in the CBERS-2 Control Center Facility at INPE





Longitudinal drift at equator computed in the CBERS-2 Control Center Facility at INPE









- Band-to-band mismatch is estimated by an intensity interpolation method
  - Reference and search windows are defined by sub-images that are resampled to 1/11 of the original pixel size
    - Cubic convolution interpolation function ( $\alpha$  = -0.5)
  - Reference and search windows are overlaid in all possible positions to determine similarity on selected control points
    - Normalized cross-correlation
  - Matching position at each control point is determined by the maximum similarity value
  - Spatial distance between any reference window and the corresponding matching position defines the band-to-band mismatch



### Example of control points





### Estimation of CCD band-to-band registration





### Estimation of CCD band-to-band registration





### Estimation of CCD band-to-band registration





# Visual estimation of band-to-band registration



CCD bands 1 and 4



IRMSS bands 1 and 2

### Estimated mismatches are corrected in the CBERS Processing Station



# System-corrected images (level 2)

### Ephemerides

Satellite position and velocity on time t

### Attitude data and instrument

Viewing direction on time t

#### Intersection with earth reference ellipsoid

- Geographic coordinates of pixel acquired on time t
- Image remapping to a map projection reference system



# System-corrected images (level 2)

#### Internal accuracy

- Relative position of pixels with respect to a map projection system
- LANDSAT TM/ETM and SPOT HRV/HRG have established the standards
  - Mean error of 1.5 pixel
- Accurate attitude data
- A good internal accuracy allows users to easily integrate images, maps, and other geographic data sources



# System-corrected images (level 2)

### Positioning accuracy

- Global displacement of the image with respect to the earth surface
- LANDSAT TM/ETM and SPOT HRV/HRG have established the standards
  - Mean error of 1,500m for LANDSAT-5
  - Mean error of less than 350m for SPOT-5
  - Mean error of less than 200m for LANDSAT-7
- Accurate ephemerides and attitude data

The positioning accuracy defines how far an image is from its true position



# Internal and positioning accuracy

System-corrected CCD, IRMSS, and WFI images were imported to a common GIS database

 NASA (ESAD) ortho-rectified ETM images were imported to the same GIS database
 GeoTIFF converted from MrSID
 UTM, WGS84

 CBERS-2 and LANDSAT-7 images were remapped to a common reference system
 Lambert Conformal Conic, WGS84



# Internal and positioning accuracy

### Internal accuracy estimation

#### Measurement of control points

- Control points were selected manually (automatic selection is under development)
- Map projection coordinates were measured on both CBERS-2 and LANDSAT-7 images
- Geometric transformations
  - Similarity and orthogonal-affine transformations were used in the assessment
  - Affine transformation was used to investigate image registration possibilities
- Coordinates calculated through the transformations were compared to the coordinates provided by the reference LANDSAT ETM image
- □ Differences were used to compute the internal accuracy



# Internal and positioning accuracy

### Positioning accuracy estimation

#### Measurement of control points

- Control points were selected manually (automatic selection is under development)
- Map projection coordinates were measured on both CBERS-2 and LANDSAT-7 images
- Displacements along north-south and east-west directions were calculated by subtracting CBERS-2 coordinates from the reference LANDSAT ETM coordinates
  - Average north-south displacement (ΔY)
  - Average east-west displacement (ΔX)
- The resultant of average displacements defines the positioning accuracy
  - $[(\Delta X)^2 + (\Delta Y)^2]^{0.5}$



# Internal accuracy estimation

INSTRUMENT	TRANSFORMATION	RMSE_X (m)	RMSE_Y (m)	RMSE (m)
CCD	Similarity	79	77	110
	Orthogonal-affine	50	45	67
	Affine	24	20	31
IRMSS	Similarity	115	126	170
	Orthogonal-affine	108	110	154
	Affine	28	17	33
WFI	Similarity	708	668	973
	Orthogonal-affine	661	316	733
	Affine	618	272	676



# Internal accuracy estimation

INSTRUMENT	LENGTH DISTORTION	ANISOMORPHISM
CCD	0.998	0.996
IRMSS	0.999	1.003
WFI	1.008	1.008



# **Positioning accuracy estimation**

DATE	∆X (km)	∆Y (km)	RESULTANT (km)
December 17, 2003	← -7.4	<b>†</b> +7.7	10.7
March 30, 2004	<b>←</b> -11.8	<b>†</b> +5.0	12.8
May 21, 2004	← -9.7	<b>†</b> +4.3	10.6
July 12, 2004	← -10.0	<b>†</b> +3.7	10.7
September 02, 2004	← -2.5	<b>†</b> +4.1	4.8
February 05, 2005	→ +0.7	<b>†</b> +4.2	4.3



- Changes in the geographic position of scene centers must be continuously monitored by the CBERS-2 Control Center Facilities in Brazil and China
- A certain WRS scene should always cover the same portion of the earth surface
- CBERS-2 WRS must be a reliable image search tool for remote sensing users



- INPE is investigating the band-to-band registration issue through a more comprehensive analysis of CCD images
- Band-to-band mismatches have been detected and corrected accordingly in the CBERS station at INPE
- Additional study is also required to verify the occurrence of displacements between arrays of detectors



- Internal accuracies of 110m for CCD, 170m for IRMSS, and 973m for WFI images do not follow the standards set by TM/ETM and HRV/HRG images
- But ... results of the affine transformation indicate that image registration is feasible
  - □ Suggested maximum scale for CCD is 1:100,000
  - □ Suggested maximum scale for IRMSS is 1:250,000
  - □ Suggested maximum scale for WFI is 1:1,500,000
  - An error still remains along the east-west direction after WFI images have been registered by an affine transformation



INPE is investigating the generation of fully corrected images by automatic registration with ETM ortho-rectified image data



CCD registered with ETM

**IRMSS** registered with ETM



#### IRMSS forward and reverse scans

- Mismatch between forward and reverse scans on the extremities of the images
- Current behavior of IRMSS mirror profile is different from the expected nominal profile?





- CRESDA (China) has proposed an adjustment method that slightly changes time for each segment of the scan mirror profile
  - Read some lines of image raw data, get number of pixels in each segment, and compute scan time for each segment
  - Fit the scan mirror profile to the relative mirror angles of the instrument using a third order polynomial
  - □ Readjust the scan mirror angles for each segment
  - □ Offset is about (4.4/1536)<sup>o</sup> per pixel
  - □ Forward mirror profile after adjustment
    - $f(t) = -2.19448 + 59.85849t 27.15604t^2 + 222.16511t^3$
  - □ Reverse mirror profile after adjustment
    - $f(t) = 2.19388 59.3667t + 1.65016t^2 38.1988t^3$



IRMSS forward and reverse scans after adjustment by the CRESDA method





### Image orientation to the north

- True north direction and the north direction calculated by the geometric correction process should be the same
- All tests detected a little misalignment (2 ~ 6 pixels) between the axes of the map projection system that is computed in the geometric correction process and the axes of the reference map projection system
- Presence of bore-sight angles?
- □ Inaccurate attitude data (yaw)?
- □ INPE is investigating the problem
- However ... image registration by an affine transformation fixes the problem



- Positioning accuracy between 4 and 12km does not follow standards set by LANDSAT and SPOT
- However ... a positioning error, no matter it is 10km or 350m, always implies an external registration procedure





- Positioning accuracy can be improved by the use of post-processed ephemerides
- Tests have been made that account for:
  - Presence of unexpected bore-sight and attitude angles
  - □ Computation of a bias-matrix





# Conclusion

- Current developments towards ensuring a good geometric quality for CBERS-2 images
  - Careful control of satellite orbit to avoid unacceptable longitudinal drifts
  - Systematic verification of the band-to-band registration accuracy
  - Use of post-processed ephemerides generated at a regular basis in the CBERS-2 Control Center Facility at INPE
  - Refinement of attitude data by using control points
  - Computation of bore-sight angles by using control points
  - Use of automatic registration techniques to generate fully corrected images

